

07.11.2025
Serial no. 16
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 2220 of 2025

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **S.C. No. 08(09) of 2024 arising out of Gangnapur Police Station Case No. 138 of 2024 dated 18th May, 2024 under Section 395 of the IPC, 1860 read with Sections 397/412 of the IPC, 1860 & Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959.**

-And-

**In the matter of : Ram Shivaji Ghatge @ Ghadage @
Ram Shibaji Gadage @ Hari**

... .. Petitioner(s)

Mr. Shibaji Kr. Das
Ms. Deblina De

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Anand Keshari
Mr. Sobhan Gani

... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for one year and six months. It has further been submitted that, on conclusion of the investigation, charge-sheet has been submitted, *subsequently*, charges have been framed, but, out of the 33 witnesses proposed to be witnesses by the prosecution, only two witnesses have been examined.

On the other hand, learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that five witnesses have already been examined and there has been recovery from the petitioner. Further, CDR reflects that other accused persons were in touch with the petitioner prior to the

commission of offence and the petitioner was arrested from Maharashtra.

Additionally, it has also been submitted that the prosecution intends to examine 20 witnesses.

Having considered the period of detention of the petitioner and his complicity as contended by the learned advocates appearing for the parties, I am of the view that further custodial detention of the present petitioner is unwarranted.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Allowed.**

Accordingly, the petitioner viz, Ram Shivaji Ghatge @ Ghadage @ Ram Shibaji Gadage @ Hari shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-(Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, Ranaghat.

If on bail, the petitioner shall also make himself physically available on each and every date of the trial so fixed by the learned trial court, surrender his Passport before the learned trial court and shall not leave the district of Nadia without prior intimation to the learned trial court.

In case, there is any violation of the aforesaid conditions, the trial court would be at liberty to cancel the bail without further reference to this court.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 2220 of 2025 is **allowed**.

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)