

02.12.2025
Serial no. 35
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 2211 of 2025

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **Ghatal PS Case No. 118 of 2017 dt. 16.06.2017 u/s 448/436/302/120B/506/34 of the IPC, 1860.**

-And-

In the matter of : Sk Fariduddin @ Farid @ Sk Farid Uddin

... .. Petitioner(s)

Mr. Blkash Ranjan Bhattacharyya, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Arindam Jana
Mr. Sudipta Dasgupta
Mr. Baibhav Roy
Mr. Yuvraj Chatterjee

... for the Petitioner(s)

Ms. Subhasree Patel
Mr. Debanshu Ghorai

... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for one year and eight months. Learned advocate also submits that out of the 29 witnesses proposed to be examined by the prosecution, only one witness has been examined till date and there is no possibility of the trial being concluded in the near future.

Learned advocate for State opposes the prayer for bail.

In view of the submissions advanced by the parties, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is
Rejected.

However, I direct that the learned trial court would within 15th May, 2026 complete at least examination of 10 witnesses. No unnecessary adjournments be granted to any of the parties and the trial of the case would continue inspite of any resolution of the local Bar.

The petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after 15th May, 2026, in case, there has been non-compliance of the directions passed above.

Accordingly, CRM (M) 2211 of 2025 is **dismissed**.

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)