

03.12.2025
Court No.28
Item No.22
ssi

CRM (A) 3652 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Tamluk Police Station Case No. 608 of 2025 dated **01.08.2025** under Sections 221/115(2)/117(2)/132/351(2)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Sk. Abubakkar Ali @ Abubakkar**

....Applicant/Petitioner

Md. Wasim Akram

...for the petitioner

Mr. P. K. Dutta

Mr. Asraf Mondal

..for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that other than the statement of a co-accused, there is no other material available against the present petitioner. No injury was caused to anyone.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the petitioner was the owner of the vehicle in question. It is also apparent from the CDR analysis of the phone call records that the petitioner was present at the time at the spot. He fled away after the incident. Investigation is going on.

Considering the serious nature of allegations and the incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

The presence of the I.O. is noted and is dispensed with.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)