

AD 10
December 17, 2025
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 3608 of 2025

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Farakka P.S. Case No.68 of 2025 dated 19.02.2025 under Sections 318(4)/336(2)/336(3)/340(2) of the BNS read with 61(2)/66(C)/66(D) of the IT Act.

And

In the matter of:

*Mustakim Sk @ Mostakim Sk and another
... petitioners*

Mr. Arnab Chatterjee
Mr. Avik Ghosh
Mr. Dipankar Guha
Ms. Ankusha Ghosh

... for the petitioners

Mr. Saryati Datta
Ms. Puja Goswami

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the only material available against the petitioners is a statement of a co-accused which is not admissible in evidence. The petitioners are also in the business of filing EPF Forms. Therefore, there might have been identity documents stored in the computer systems.

Learned counsel for the State relies on the case diary and the report, which is taken on record and submits that, first, the anticipatory bail application of a co-accused has been rejected by this Court earlier. Secondly, other than the statements of a co-accused, there were laptops and other electronic equipments which were seized from the petitioners' premises. It appears that there were images of Aadhaar cards found stored in the laptop, which have been sent for

scrutiny. The mobile phones of the present petitioners could not be seized. Apart from that, the statements of the independent witnesses point to the complicity of the petitioner No.1.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)