

11.3.2025
Sl.22
Nandita
Court No.40

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

CRR 4318 of 2024

**Maya Shaw
Vs.
Mayank Shaw Alias Munna**

Mr. Debasis Mitra,
Mr. Arka Mahalanobis
.... For the Petitioner

Mr. Partha Sarathi Das,
Mr. Kausik Biswas.
.... For the Opposite Party.

Affidavit-of-opposition filed on behalf of the opposite party
be kept with the record.

The instant application is filed on being aggrieved by the
order passed by the Learned 10th Judicial Magistrate at Alipore,
South 24-Parganas. The point of grievance is that the learned
Trial Court allowed interim maintenance of Rs. 10,000/- per
month for the minor son, but, no interim maintenance was
allowed for the wife.

It is submitted that the wife is an advocate practicing in
Alipore Judges Court.

I have heard the learned Counsels. After adducing
evidence the learned Trial Court shall decide upon the quantum
of maintenance payable either to the wife or to the minor son or
to both at the present. There is no need to interfere with the
present order dated 12.06.2024.

However, the learned Court can modify the order in case of change of circumstances, if so applied for.

In a nutshell, the impugned order is not interfered with. The instant application stands disposed of accordingly.

Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, shall be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

(Sugato Majumdar, J.)