

09/12/2025
D/L – 10
Court No.28
S. Kundu
Allowed

C.R.M.(A) 3546 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023. In connection with Lilua P.S case no. 348 of 2024 dated 27/6/2024 under Sections 498A of the IPC.

In the matter of: Satya Devi Sharma @ Satya & Ors.

...Petitioners.

Mr. Abhimanyu Banerjee
Mr. ARnab Saha

...for the petitioners.

Ms. Manasi Roy

...for the de-facto complainant.

Ms. Baisali Basu
Ms. Sreetama Das

...for the State.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioners are the parents-in-laws, the brother-in-laws and the married sister-in-law of the alleged victim. The husband has preferred an anticipatory bail before the Sessions Judge. The petitioners were granted transit anticipatory bail. Thereafter, they have approached this Court with an application for anticipatory bail. There is no *prima facie* case made out as against the present petitioners.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the de-facto complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She submits that the husband of the petitioner was working in the Army. The two fell in love and got married in a temple. Thereafter, the husband took her to a lodge and

consummated the marriage. But he did not take her to her paternal home. Upon insisting that the victim should be taken to her matrimonial home, she was violently abused and beaten up by the husband. She went to the in-law's place, but they refused to accept her.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She relies on the FIR, the statements of witnesses and other documents.
4. It appears from the FIR that the main allegations are against the husband, who is not before this Court.
5. Considering the above, the materials available in the case diary and the alleged roles ascribed to the present petitioners who are the in-laws of the alleged victim and the fact that charge-sheet has been submitted, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
6. In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to further conditions that the petitioners shall not threaten or intimidate the witnesses. The petitioners shall surrender before the learned jurisdictional Court and pray for bail within four weeks from date and shall regularly attend the jurisdictional Court.
7. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is allowed.

8. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance with requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)