

Sl.No.

182 20.08.2025

Court
No. 35

G.S.Das

WPA 24845 of 2024

Monorama Baildya

-Vs-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Subrata Ghosh
Mr. Santanu Mukherjee
Ms. Gagri Saha
Ms. Madhumita Kar

... for the Petitioner(s)

Ms. Sabnam De Bardhan, Jr. Govt. Adv.
Ms. Kakali Naskar

... for the State – respondent(s)

Mr. Goutam Ray

... For the Respondent No. 7

The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that she is unable to raise her boundary wall because of the resistance at the behest of the private respondent no.7.

Learned advocate for the private respondent no.7 submits that a civil suit was preferred and, *presently*, a Revisional Application is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Having considered that the dispute and differences between the petitioner and

the private respondent no.7 is private in nature, the police authorities have hardly any role to involve themselves.

However, the police authorities would abide by any order passed by the learned civil court and/or any other appropriate authority, in case, they find that there is dispute between the petitioner and the private respondent no.7.

With the aforesaid observations, WPA 24845 of 2024 is disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)

