

05.12.2025
Sl. No.09
Ct. 28
NB

C.R.M. (A) 3524 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Rejinagar PS Case No.180/2025 dated 22.06.2025 under Sections 140(3)/356(2)/356(3)/64/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 6 of the POCSO Act, 2012 and Section 10/11 of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006.

And

In the matter of:- Rubel Sk. @ Rubel Sekhpetitioner

Mr. Santanu Talukder,
Mr. Amanul Islam,
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee,
Mr. Gourav Roy.
....for the petitioner.

Mr. Arijit Ganguly,
Mr. Mirza Firoj Ahmed Begg.
...for the State.

Mr. Sujoy Sarkar
...for the de facto complainant.

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

It appears from the report that the present petitioner who claims to be Rubel Sk. @ Rubel Sekh is the same person as Rabiul Sk.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Perused the case diary.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary including the statement of the minor victim made before the Magistrate and the alleged role ascribed to the present petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail being **CRM (A) 3524 of 2025** is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)