

14.11.2025
Sl. No.58
NB

CRM (A) 3517 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Samsheganj PS Case No.574/2024 dated 02.09.2024 under Sections 288/117(2)/118(2)/109/324(4)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Abdul Kemim & Ors. ... petitioners

Ms. Shabana Hasin
...for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Bapuli.
...for the State.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Perused the case diary.

It is indeed strange that in the charge sheet, provisions of the Explosive Substances Act were not imputed although the injury report shows that it was a case of bomb blast injury.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary including the statements of witnesses, the medical reports and the fact that the petitioners were named in the FIR as well as in the statements of witnesses, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail being **CRM (A) 3517 of 2025** is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)