

09.12.2025
Serial no. 180
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 1995 of 2025

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **Sessions Trial No. 01(02) of 2024, SC No. 201 of 2023 & GR No. 745 of 2023 arising out of Usthi PS Case No. 110 of 2023 dated 06.03.2023 u/s 448/326/307/387/34 of the IPC read with Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.**

-And-

In the matter of : Rejaul Laskar @ Choto Matal
... .. Petitioner(s)

Mr. Saubhik Mitter
Mr. Jay Chakraborty
Ms. Ipsita Ghosh

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Anupam Das Adhikari
Ms. Srilekha Chattopadhyay

... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that there has hardly been any progress in the case pursuant to the earlier commitment made before this court on behalf of the State. Learned advocate also submits that the petitioner is in custody for more than two years and eight months and, only four witnesses have been examined till date by the prosecution. Learned advocate also added that there is no chance of the trial being concluded in the near future as the prosecution in the charge-sheet has already relied upon 21 witnesses and 17 more witnesses are still left to be examined, as such, on any stringent condition, the petitioner may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned advocate for the State, on instruction, submits that the prosecution till date has examined seven witnesses and would examine three more witnesses which include one eyewitness; victim and the investigating officer of the case.

In view of the submissions advanced; the report submitted before this court and considering the belated stage as pointed out by the prosecution, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Rejected.**

However, the prosecution is directed to complete three witnesses by the end of February, 2026. The learned trial court would complete the examination u/s 313 of the Cr.P.C. and pronounce its verdict by 31st March, 2026.

In case, there is any deviation from the aforesaid time schedule, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail before this court. No unnecessary adjournment be granted to any of the parties and the trial of the case would continue inspite of any resolution of the local Bar.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 1995 of 2025 is **dismissed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)