

09.12.2025

Court No.35.

M/L. 163.

Kausik

(Rejected)

CRM (M) 1905 of 2025

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Nodakhali Police
Station Case No. 108 of 2023 dated 21.04.2023 under Sections
302/201 of the Indian Penal Code;

And

In the matter of : Arman Khan

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Soumya Nag

Mr. Aditya Tiwari

Mr. Rajdeep Sengupta

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Md. Adil Badr, Ld. Jr. Govt. Adv.

Ms. Suruchi Saha

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner is in custody for 2 years and 7 months and
there has been no progress in the trial of the case.

It has also been pointed out that the prosecution has
not put in efforts also to take the investigation to its logical
conclusion in spite of the fact that they have relied upon 24
witnesses.

Learned advocate for the State submits that 4 witnesses
have been examined in-chief till date and the cross-
examination has been deferred.

State is directed to conclude another 6 witnesses by
31.03.2026. No unnecessary adjournment be granted to any of

the parties and the trial of the case would continue in spite of any resolution of the local bar.

Learned Trial Judge would record in case any of the advocates shows reluctance for continuing with the trial on the date so fixed. The same be recorded in the order sheet for further reference of this Court.

At this stage the prayer for bail in CRM (M) 1905 of 2025 is rejected.

Petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after the said period is over.

Report so submitted be kept with the record.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)