

16
14.11.2025
Ct. No.26
b.das

WPA 24207 of 2025

Milan Kanti Pal
Vs.
The State of W. B. & Ors.

Mr. Sabyasachi Chatterjee
Mr. Amiya Dutta
Mr. Pintu Karar
Mr. A. Mukherjee
Ms. Meghna Chowdhury ...for the petitioner.

Mr. Debabrata Banerjee
Mr. Ahibhusuan Chakraborty ...for the State.

Mr. Niladri Sekhar Ghosh
Mr. Partha Sarathi Mondal
...for the private respondent.

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner and report submitted by the State are taken on record.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The petitioner is the Teacher in Charge of Kakdwip Birendra Vidyaniketan and alleges that on 16th September, 2025, the private respondent who is the President of the Managing Committee of the school entered the school and asked him to sign on a particular document without going through the same. On his refusal to do so, he was threatened, severely assaulted and thrown out of the school. The CCTV cameras installed in the school have captured the said incident. The petitioner sustained injuries and was admitted to the hospital for a day. He lodged a complaint before the jurisdictional PS and FIR has

been registered. The petitioner is unhappy with the mode and manner of investigation of the case.

Learned counsel for the private respondent submits that the private respondent lodged complaint before the District Inspector of Schools against the petitioner for alleged defalcation of huge amount of fund from the school by the petitioner. The petitioner has lodged this complaint only to restrain the Managing Committee from operating in school.

Learned counsel for the petitioner denies and disputes the said allegation.

Learned counsel for the State submits that pursuant to the complaint lodged by the petitioner, a specific case has been registered and investigation is in progress. The accused/private respondent was arrested and subsequently released on bail. The relevant CCTV footages of the school have also been seized and statements of staff of the school have been recorded.

Upon consideration of the submission made on behalf of the parties, this Court directs the police authority to continue investigation of the case and take the same to its logical conclusion in accordance with law. The police authority shall provide adequate protection to the petitioner so that he is able to discharge his duties in the school. The police authority shall also monitor smooth functioning of the school.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)