

14.10.2025
SL No.17
Court No.5
Rohan

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

C.R.M.(M) 1814 of 2025

In Re: An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Raghunathganj Police Station Case No. 1295 of 2024 dated 13.10.2024 under sections 329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/118(1)/118(2)/109/103(1)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 pending before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, 1st Fast Track Court, Jangipur, Murshidabad.

-And-

In the matter of: Avimannu Mandal @ Abhimanya Mondal

...Petitioner

Mr. Surajit Basu
Ms. Pranidhi Singh
Mr. Sayan Das

...for the Petitioner

Ms. Baisali Basu
Mr. Debanshu Ghorai

...for the State

1. The memo of evidence filed by the State is taken on record.
2. It is submitted by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody for 361 days. He was not involved in the incident. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Furthermore, there is no specific attributable role played by the petitioner in the murder and therefore, he prays for bail.
3. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State firmly opposes the prayer for bail and produced the case diary.

4. I have considered the materials available in the case diary, particularly, the statement recorded under Sections 161 and 164 of Cr.P.C. of the eye witnesses who have directly implicated the petitioner and also indicated the role played by the petitioner in the murder of the victim.
5. In view of the above facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to allow the prayer for bail of the petitioner.
6. Accordingly, the application for bail is, **rejected**.
7. The application for bail being **C.R.M. (M) 1814 of 2025** stands **disposed of**.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)