

10.11.2025

Item No.52

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 1821 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Salar Police Station Case No. 326 of 2025 dated 25.07.2025 under Section 64(2)(m) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 6 of POCSO Act.

And

In Re : **Yousuf Sk. @ Arman @ Uusb Sk.**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Kallol Kumar Basu,
Md. Jannat ul Firdous

... For the Petitioner.

Ms. Puja Goswami

... For the State.

Ms. Ankita Dey

... for the *de facto* complainant.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that there are changed circumstances.

Learned advocate for the *de facto* complainant/victim is present.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that charge has already been framed.

Having considered the stage of the case, I am not inclined to consider the prayer for bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

However, if the changed circumstances, if any, be placed before the learned Trial Court, learned Trial Court would consider the same in the background of the facts of the present case.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 1821 of 2025, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)