

WPA 23572 of 2025

Susmita Parvin
Vs.
The State of W. B. & Ors.

Mr. Niladri Sekhar Ghosh
Mr. Sekhowat Kahndakar
Ms. Laboni Sikder
Mr. Souvik Dey ...for the petitioner.

Mr. Sirsanya Bandyopadhyay
Mr. Tarak Karan ...for the State.

Ms. Busra Khatun ...for the private respondents.

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner and report submitted by the State are taken on record.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner having married a person of her choice against the will of her family, the private respondents who are her family members are threatening her and members of her matrimonial family with dire consequences for which there is apprehension of breach of peace and threat to their lives.

Learned counsel for the State submits that pursuant to the complaint lodged by the petitioner, police has initiated prosecution under Section 126 of the BNSS on two occasions.

Learned counsel for the private respondents denies and disputes the allegation made by the petitioner.

It appears that there is a civil dispute between the parties who claim to be co sharers in respect of the plot in question. The said dispute shall be dealt with by the appropriate civil forum.

In the meantime, the police is directed to keep strict vigil over the area so that no untoward incident occurs and there is no breach of peace and tranquility. The police authority is also directed to render necessary protection/assistance to the petitioner as and when required.

With the above directions and observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)