

ML 210
11.02.2025
Court No.14
BP/AGM

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION**

WPA 23925 of 2024

Nirmal Nandi @ Nirmal Ch. Nandi
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Abhishek Chakraborty.
Ms. Ritoprita Ghosh.
... for the Petitioner.

Mr. Himadri Sikhar Chakraborty.
Ms. Susnita Saha.
...for the State.

Dr. Madhu Sudan Saha Roy.
... for the WBSEDCL.

1. The electricity connection cannot be given to the petitioner because of the objection raised by the adjacent owner.
2. None appears for the private respondents.
3. Affidavit-of-service filed today in Court be kept with the records.
4. The Distribution Company Limited intimated the petitioner for submission of the way leave clearance the same could not be arranged by the petitioner.
5. To resolve the issue, the petitioner is granted leave to approach the District Magistrate, Purba Bardhaman by filing a comprehensive representation for finding out an alternative

arrangement from where electricity line can be provided to the petitioner.

6. Steps shall be taken by the District Magistrate to find out a solution upon giving opportunity of hearing to all the necessary parties at the earliest but positively within a period of eight weeks from the date of submission of the representation.
7. The writ petition stands disposed of.
8. Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)