

August 20, 2025
Sl. No.11-12
Court No.8
s.biswas

WPA(P) 528 of 2022

Nabendu Kumar Bandyopadhyay
vs.
The State of West Bengal and others

WITH

WPA(P) 526 of 2022

Niladri Saha
vs.
The State of West Bengal and others

Mr. Sukanta Ghosh
... for the petitioner in WPA(P) 528 of 2022
Mr. S. Chakraborty
Mr. Benazir Ahmed
... for the State in WPA(P) 528 of 2022
Mr. Debanjan Mukherjee
... for the respondent no.13 in in WPA(P) 528 of 2022
Ms. Susmita Saha Dutta
... for the petitioner in WPA(P) 526 of 2022
Mr. Sanatan Kumar Mitra
... for the State in WPA(P) 526 of 2022

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the State has produced a report and submits that on requisition from the NIA, sanction for prosecution was accorded by the Commissioner of Police, Kolkata under Section 7 of the Explosive Substances Act, 1908 for trial of 14 accused persons for committing the offence punishable under various provision of Explosive Substances Act, 1908, by order dated 19.01.2023.
3. Let such report be taken on record. The copy of the report has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner after perusing the prayer clause of the PIL and the report submits that the PIL has rendered infructuous. The other side has no objection.
5. The PIL is dismissed having rendered infructuous.

(Sujoy Paul, J.)

(Smita Das De, J.)