

27.11.2025
Court No.28
Item No.30
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 3420 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Panskura** P.S. Case No. **940 of 2024** dated **03.12.2024** under Sections **115(2)/140(3)/3(5)/351(2)/140(2)** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Julekha Bibi & Anr.

....Petitioners.

Mr. Soumya Nag
Mr. Rajdeep Sengupta
....for the petitioner

Mr. Suman De
Ms. Ayana De
....for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. The alleged recovery was done from an open space. There was no grievous injury caused to anyone.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the statements of witnesses including the victims and the recovery memos of the victims.

The prosecution case is that the victims were abducted by the petitioners to recover money.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary including the statements of the victims and the recovery memos, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)