

24.11.2025
Court No.28
Item No.23
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 3419 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Chanchal** P.S. Case No. **129 of 2025** dated **01.03.2025** under Sections **329(3)/117(2)/118(2)/109/103(1)/3(5)** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Iyakub @ Ekub & Ors.

....Petitioners.

Mr. Sekhar Kr. Basu, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Soubhik Mitter
Mr. Biswajit Tiwari
Md. Sontu Mia
Ms. Alisha Parvin
Mr. Aziz Al Aman
Md. Mijanur Rahaman

....for the petitioners

Mr. Soumik Ganguly
Mr. Santanu Talukdar

....for the State

Leave is granted to file supplementary affidavit. The same, as filed in Court, is taken on record.

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. Although 26 persons were named in the FIR as the accused, only 16 were charge sheeted. Two of the prime accused are in custody and five were released on bail. A fight took place between two groups of villagers. There were case and counter case.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the statements of two other injured witnesses and the statements of other eye-witnesses as also to the injury report and the post mortem report.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged roles ascribed to the present petitioners, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)