

25.02.2025
23
sdas

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION**

C.R.R. No. 3999 of 2024

In Re : An application under Section 528 of the BNSS.
And
In Re : Ramawati Tewari & Ors. petitioners

Mr. Jayanta Narayan Chatterjee, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rabi Ghosh
..... for the petitioners

Mr. Shibaji Kumar Das
Ms. Deblina De
Ms. Nilanjana Sarkar
..... for the de facto complainant

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits they had been released on interim bail. Subsequently on the score that petitioners did not appear before the learned Magistrate and co-operate with investigation their bail came to be cancelled. This order was assailed before an Hon'ble Single Judge in CRR 1846 of 2024. Hon'ble Judge directed the petitioners to appear before the learned Magistrate and pray for regular bail.

2. After hearing the parties the learned Magistrate had rejected bail prayer of the petitioners. An order rejecting bail is an interlocutory one. It is not revisable. Even otherwise, the order is also not perverse calling for interference in inherent jurisdiction. Learned Magistrate had given opportunity of hearing to all the parties including the petitioners and after considering the materials on record rejected their bail prayer.

3. Hence, application is dismissed.

4. I am informed that the petitioners had escaped from the court after rejection of bail. They are directed to forthwith surrender before the jurisdictional Magistrate and shall be remanded to custody as per law failing which the learned Magistrate shall issue appropriate processes in accordance with law for their apprehension.

5. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, shall be given to the parties as expeditiously as possible on compliance of all necessary formalities.

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)