

14
jdt. 27.10.2025
jb.

WPA 23451 of 2025
(Rabiul Mistri @ Rabiul Mistry vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.)

Mr. Pronojit Roy
Jamal Uddin

.... For the Petitioner

Mr. Swapan Banerjee
Mr. Diptendu Narayan Banerjee

.... For the State

Mr. Rabindra Narayan Dutta
Mr. Sibasis Ghosh
Mr. Arkaday Mukherjee
Mr. Soham Banerjee

.... For the Respondent Nos. 10-12

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner and report submitted by the State are taken on record.

The petitioner is aggrieved by violation of order of ad interim injunction granted in his favour by the learned trial Court by the private respondents. In a title suit filed by the petitioner before the trial Court, learned trial Court granted an order of interim injunction restraining the defendants therein/ private respondents from causing any hindrance in peaceful possession of the property in question or from changing the nature and character of the same. The order is still subsisting. Since the petitioner is aggrieved by violation of the said order by the private respondents, he is at liberty to take steps for redressal of his grievance before the appropriate forum.

It appears from the report submitted by the State that a proceeding under Section 126 of the BNSS has

been initiated by the police authority for maintaining peace and tranquility of the locality.

Pendency of the writ petition shall not serve any fruitful purpose.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit has been invited, allegations contained in the writ petition shall be deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties on compliance of requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)