

Court No. 2

19.3.2025

(Item No. 7)

(AB)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

W.P.A. 22431 of 2023

Gurupada Hutait & Ors.

VS

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sajal Kumar Ghosh

.... For the petitioners

Mr. Ayan Banerjee

Mr. Avijit Sarkar

Ms. Riya Ghosh

.... For the State

Mr. Sk. Jayed Hossain

.... For respondent No. 9

Affidavit-of-service, filed in Court today, is taken on record.

Mr. Sajal Kumar Ghosh, learned counsel appears for the petitioners.

Mr. Ayan Banerjee, learned advocate appears for the State respondents.

Mr. Sk. Jayed Hossain, learned advocate appears for private respondent No. 9.

The petitioners complain of an alleged encroachment and unauthorized construction on the PWD land at the behest of the private respondent. The petitioners submitted a representation dated **July 11, 2023, Annexure P-3 at page-15** to the writ petition, but the same has not yet been dealt with.

The learned advocate for the private respondent has denied and disputed the submission of the petitioner. He submits that there has been no

encroachment on the P.W.D. land caused by the private respondent.

In view of the above, the jurisdictional Block Land and Land Reforms Officer (B.L. & L.R.O) upon prior notice to the petitioners and the private respondent shall cause a physical inspection of the alleged encroachment and construction and shall submit his report before the petitioners, private respondent and the jurisdictional Assistant Engineer, PWD.

This exercise shall be carried out and completed by the jurisdictional B.L. & L.R.O. positively within a period of **four weeks** from the date of communication of this order.

In the event such report confirms the alleged encroachment and construction on the PWD land then the jurisdictional Assistant Engineer, PWD after issuing a prior hearing notice of at least **seven days** to the petitioners and the private respondent and after giving them an opportunity of hearing shall decide the said representation dated **July 11, 2023** as referred to above by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law.

The entire exercise shall be carried out and completed by the jurisdictional Assistant Engineer, PWD positively within a period of **six weeks** from the date of receiving report from the jurisdictional B.L. &

L.R.O. The reasoned order shall be communicated to the petitioners and the private respondent positively within a period of **two weeks** from the date of the said reasoned order to be passed.

It is made clear that this Court has not gone into the merits of the case of the petitioners or the private respondent and they shall be at liberty to urge whatever points they wish to urge by relying upon whatever records and documents they wish to rely upon before the jurisdictional Assistant Engineer, PWD.

In the event, the reasoned order confirms the alleged unauthorized construction and encroachment, the jurisdictional Assistant Engineer, PWD shall communicate the order to the jurisdictional Sub Divisional Officer positively within a period of **three weeks** from the date of the said reasoned order to be passed, who shall take all necessary and consequential steps to give an immediate effect to the said reasoned order in accordance with law positively within **four weeks** from the date of receiving the reasoned order from the Assistant Engineer, PWD.

This order shall not create any right or equity in favour of the petitioners and in favour of the private respondent, if they do not succeed to their respective claims before the jurisdictional Assistant Engineer, PWD strictly in accordance with law.

Since affidavits are not called for, the allegations made in this writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by the respondents.

With the above observations and directions, this writ petition, **WPA 22431 of 2023** stands **disposed of**, without any order as to costs.

Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be furnished expeditiously.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)