

11.12.2025
Court No.28
Item No.36
ssi

CRM (A) 3597 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Chanchal Police Station Case No. 1426 of 2022 dated **06.12.2022** under Sections 341/509/354/323/308/34 of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 8 and 12 of the POCSO Act.

And

In the matter of: **Sk. Raja @ Bunu @ Raja Sk.**

....Applicant/Petitioner

Mr.Rana Mukherjee
Mr. Soujanya Pattanayak

...for the petitioner

Ms. Sayanti Santra
Mr. Mainak Gupta

..for the State

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

No one appears on behalf of the alleged victim despite service.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. It is learnt that the victim did not proceed with the matter.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She relies on the statements of the two minor girls/alleged survivors as also on the statements of the brother of the victim and the local witnesses.

The prosecution case is that the petitioner used to tease and abuse the victims and used to make vulgar gesture. When the brother protested, he was thrashed up. As the locals came to rescue them, the accused fled away.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged role ascribed to the present petitioner, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)