

08.12.2025
Court No.35.
M/L. 139.
Rakib
(rejected)

CRM (M) 1738 of 2025

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/Under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Chinsurah Women Police Station case no. 86 of 2024 dated 13.06.2024 under Sections 376(2)(f)/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In the matter of : XXXX

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Tapas Kr. Ghosh
Mr. Tanmay Choudhury
.....for the Petitioner.
Ms. Sukanya Bhattacharya
Ms. Suveni Banerjee
.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that for one year six months the petitioner is in custody and there is hardly any progress in the case resulting in the petitioner unnecessarily languishing in jail.

Learned advocate for the State on the other hand submits that the evidence of the investigating officer is in progress and presumably the prosecution would complete the said evidence on the next date so fixed.

In view of the trial at the fag-end, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner in CRM (M) 1738 of 2025 is **dismissed**.

Learned trial Court is directed to pronounce the verdict by 31st of March, 2026.

No unnecessary adjournment be granted to any of the parties and the trial as well as the arguments of the case would continue in spite of the resolution of the local bar.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)