

Sl.No.

66

22.09.2025

Court
No. 35

G.S.Das

WPA 21828 of 2025

Md. Faruk Uddin

-Vs-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sagnik Bhattacharyya

Md. Shainurzaman

Ms. Ruksar Parveen

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ratul Biswas

Mr. Ranjit Rajak

... for the State-respondent(s)

Mr. Surajit Basu

Mr. Debojyoti Poddar

Mr. J. Alam

... for the respondent nos. 5 and 6

The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that inspite of informing the Officer-in-charge of Bidhannagar South Police Station, no steps were taken.

A report has been submitted by the State which reflects that there was a lease in favour of the writ petitioner. However, no document could be produced by the respondent nos. 4 and 5 in respect of the right to operate the said fishery. The report also speaks that some of the workers of the respondent nos. 4 and 5 willfully obstructed a portion of the said fishery.

However, the police authorities have drawn up a proceeding under Sections 126/135(3) of the BNSS.

Having considered that the dispute is regarding a fishery, the police authorities would oversee that the law and order is not violated and the situation is not blown out of proportion.

In case, any cognizable offence is made out, the police authorities would not hesitate to take stern steps against the offenders.

With the aforesaid observations, WPA 21828 of 2025 is disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Report so submitted be kept with the record.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)

