

14.10.2025

SL No.6
Court No.5
S.Gayen
Vacation
Bench

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

C.R.M.(M) 1693 of 2025

In Re: An application for bail under Section 483 of the
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

-And-

**In the matter of: Mustafijur Rahaman @ Mostafijur Rahaman @
Mojibur @ Mostafijur Rahaman @ Majibur**

...Petitioner

Mr. Satarup Purkayastha
Mr. Musharaf Alam Sheikh
Mr. Abhishek Chakraborty

...for the Petitioner

Mr. Saibal Bapuli
Mr. Debanshu Ghorai

...for the State

1. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody for 96 days. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Actually the victim consumed poison but the reason is not known by the petitioner. Therefore, the prayer for bail may be allowed on any terms and conditions.
2. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail. He produced the case diary and draws the attention of the Court by showing the 164 statement recorded by the learned Magistrate and the post mortem report, wherefrom it appears that she suffered injuries on her person.
3. In view of the above facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to allow the prayer for bail of the petitioner at this stage.

4. Accordingly, the application for bail is, thus, **rejected**.
5. The application for bail being **C.R.M. (M) 1693** of **2025** stands disposed of.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)