

19.11.2025

41
rc/jb.
jdt.

C.R.M. (A) 3370 of 2025

In Re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Bakultala Police Station Case No. 510/2024 dated 08.11.2024 under Sections 85/80/351(2)/61(2)/64(2)(m) of the BNS, 2023 and Section 6 of the POCSO Act and Section 4 of the DP Act and Section 11 of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act.

And

In the matter of : XXX petitioner

Md. Masudur Rahaman Paik
... For the petitioner

Mr. Imran Ali
Mr. Subham Bhakat
... For the State

Report submitted on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Perused the Case Diary.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the mother of the principal accused. The principal accused was arrested and was granted bail. Charge sheet has been submitted.

Learned counsel appearing for the State strenuously opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statement of the witnesses, the post mortem report and submits that a co-accused standing on the similar footing was denied the benefit of anticipatory bail by a Division

Bench of this Court on 6th March, 2025 in CRM (A) 792 of 2025.

It appears that the victim was a minor. She was married to another minor. The petitioner is her mother in law. Statements of witnesses show that the victim was subjected to torture for demand of dowry and she committed suicide at the matrimonial home.

Considering the incriminating material available in the case diary and the alleged role ascribed to the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to allow the application for anticipatory bail and the same is, accordingly, rejected.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)