

Form No.J(2)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Civil Appellate Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

Present : The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya
&
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Uday Kumar

W.P.L.R.T. No. 152 of 2025

Anukul Chandra Mahata
Vs.
The State of West Bengal and Ors.

For the petitioners	:	Mr. Lalratan Mandal, Mr. Dilip Kumar Sadhu, Advs.
For the respondents	:	Sk. Md. Galib, Ld. Snr. Govt. Adv. Ms. Sujata Mukherjee, Adv.
Heard on	:	September 24, 2025.
Judgment on	:	September 24, 2025.

Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.:

1. Affidavit of service filed today be kept on record.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by the impugned order, the Land Reforms and Tenancy Tribunal had directed the B.L. & L.R.O., Salboni, District – Paschim

Medinipur to dispose of the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law and after giving opportunity of hearing to all interested parties within a period of six months from the date of communication of the order.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that due to unavoidable circumstances, the communication was made beyond such period, as directed by the Tribunal. In the latter part of the impugned order dated December 9, 2024, the petitioner was directed to serve a copy of the original application with all its Annexures and a copy of the order of the Tribunal upon the concerned authority within one month from the date of receiving the certified copy of the order.
4. Learned Senior Government Advocate appearing for the State hands over a report filed by the concerned B.L. & L.R.O. from wherefrom it is apparent that a proceeding has already been initiated by the B.L. & L.R.O.
5. Thus, we do not find any reason to interfere with the order of the learned Tribunal, which was perfectly justified in the context.
6. Accordingly, W.P.L.R.T. 152 of 2025 is dismissed on contest without any order as to costs, thereby affirming the impugned

order dated December 9, 2024 passed by the Second Bench, West Bengal Land Reforms and Tenancy Tribunal in OA No. 3774 of 2023 (LRTT).

7. However, it is made clear that the mere fact that the petitioner communicated the order along with the annexures and copy of the original application to the B.L. & L.R.O. late, would not come in the way of the B.L. & L.R.O. complying with the order of the Tribunal and making endeavour to dispose of the matter within six months from this date.
8. There will be no order as to costs.
9. The parties and the Tribunal shall act on the server copy of this order, duly downloaded from the official website of this court.

I agree.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)

(Uday Kumar, J.)