

Sl.No.

14

24.09.2025

Court
No. 35

G.S.Das

WPA 21499 of 2025

Jagannath Sasmal

-Vs-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Debasis Sur
Mr. Santanu Chakraborty
Mr. Dilip Kr. Das

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Naba Kr. Das
Mr. Tapas Kr. Roy

... for the State-respondent(s)

Mr. A. Chatterjee

... for the private respondent(s) nos. 4 and 5

The petitioner claims to be the owner of the property while the respondent nos. 4 and 5 claim to be the co-owner of the same undivided property.

There is a dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents over the issue relating to cultivation.

Report submitted by the State reflects that a proceeding under Section 163(3)/126(2) of the BNSS has been drawn up by the police authorities. Consequently, the police authorities are absolutely in knowhow of the nature of the dispute existing between the petitioner

and the private respondents.

As the police authorities are well aware regarding the dispute existing between the petitioner and the private respondents, they would oversee that no untoward incident results because of the inimical relationship existing between the petitioner and the private respondents.

In case, the situation is blown out of proportion, in that case, the police authorities would assess whether any cognizable offence and/or non-cognizable offence has been committed, thereafter, take steps in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observations, WPA 21499 of 2025 is disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Report so submitted be kept with the record. A copy of the report be handed over to both the parties.

Parties to act on a server copy of this

order duly collected from the official website of the
Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)