

In The High Court at Calcutta
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

Coram:

The Hon'ble Justice Jay Sengupta

CRR 4042 of 2025

With

CRAN 1 of 2025

Santosh Sahebrao Shinde & Ors.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Anr.

For the petitioners : Mr. Siddhartha Paul

For the O.P. No. 2 : Mr. Souma Subhra Roy
Ms. Neelam Kumari

For the State : Mr. Amita Gour
Ms. Afreen Begum

Heard on : 25.09.2025

Judgment on : 25.09.2025

Jay Sengupta, J.: This is an application praying for quashing of G.R. Case no. 1057 of 2021 pending before the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bidhannagar, North - 24 Parganas arising out of Biddhannagar Women Police Station Case no. 24 of 2021 dated 30.8.2021 under Sections

498A/406/307/34 of the IPC and Section 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Report filed by the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioners are the husband and other in-laws of the de-facto complainant/opposite party. During the pendency of the proceeding, a settlement and compromise was effected between the private parties of all disputes that had led to the registration of the instant FIR. As such, the proceedings may be quashed on the ground of compromise.

Learned counsel appearing for the de-facto complainant supports such contentions of the petitioners and submits that all the disputes between the private parties have been settled and the impugned proceedings may be quashed on the ground of settlement.

Learned counsel appearing for the State relies on the case diary and the report and submits as follows. The State would not come in the way if a settlement is arrived at between the private parties. It appears from the injury report that the injury was not grievous.

It appears from the subsequent statement of the alleged victim made before the Investigating Officer that she did not want to proceed with the case any further. In fact, she had written a letter dated 23.09.2025 to the Investigating Officer stating that the matter has been settled and the de-facto complainant had a consent for quashing of the proceeding.

It appears that the settlement and compromise has been arrived at between the private parties and all the disputes that had led to the initiation of the criminal proceedings.

In view of the same, the impugned proceeding is quashed on the ground of settlement.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, the revisional application and the joint compromise application are disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance with requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)