

31.10.2025
Court No.28
Item No.52
KAUSHIK

CRM (A) 3233 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with **Chatterjeehat** P.S. Case No. **183 of 2025** dated **16.08.2025** under Sections 85/115(2)/316/351(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 read with Sections 3/ 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act and also read with Section 75 of the Juvenile Justice Act, 2015.

And

In the matter of: **Arup De & Ors.**

....Applicants/Petitioners.

Mr. Sabyasachi Banerjee
Mr. Koel Mukherjee
Mr. Anurag Sardar
Mr. Mahfuz Murshed
Mr. Sayan Mukherjee

...for the petitioners

Mr. Prasun Kumar Dutta
Ms. Poulomi Bose

...for the State

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioners are the parents-in-law and brother-in-law of the de-facto complainant. The marriage took place between de-facto complainant and the son of the petitioner nos. 1 and 2 in the year 2017. After a pre-litigation process, the son of the petitioner nos. 1 and 2 was constrained to lodge a General Diary Entry regarding certain issues. As a counter-blast, the present First Information Report was registered. The allegations are absolutely commonplace. All and sundry in the in-laws' place have been implicated in this case.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail relies on the case diary and points to the statement of the de-facto complainant.

Considering the materials available in the case diary and the fact that the petitioners have complied with the notice issued by the Investigating Agency, except the petitioner no. 3, who stays in Bangalore, I do not think that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required in this case and I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed.

In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023 and on further condition that the petitioner no. 1 and 3, namely Arup De and Sougata De @ Saugata De, shall meet the I.O. as and when required and petitioners shall co-operate with investigation and shall not threaten or intimidate the witnesses.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)