

11.11.2025
Court No.28
Item No.35
ssi

CRM (A) 3240 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Chakdah Police Station Case No. 266 of 2025 dated **19.03.2025** under Sections 21 (C)/29 of the NDPS Act.

And

In the matter of: **Subrata Halder**

....Applicant/Petitioner

Mr. Sumanta Das

...for the petitioner

Mr. Avishek Sinha

Mr. Asraf Mondal

..for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the only material available against the present petitioner is the statement of a co-accused which is inadmissible in evidence.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the report and the case diary and submits that there is a criminal antecedent of the petitioner. Other than that CDR and SDR analysis of call record show that at the relevant time, there are calls made between the principal accused and the present petitioner. Moreover, the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle in question.

Considering the materials available in the case diary and the restriction contained in Section 37 of the NDPS Act, I do not consider that this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)