

10.11.2025
Serial no. 22
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 1585 of 2025

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **Karimpur P.S. Case No. 157 of 2024 dated 27.08.2024 under Sections 85/80/103/3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and Sections 3/4 of the DP Act, 1961 corresponding to S.L. No. 1810 of 2024.**

-And-

In the matter of : Badal Das

... .. Petitioner(s)

Mr. Atis Kumar Biswas
Ms. Jyoti Agarwal

... for the Petitioner(s)

Ms. Partha Pratim Das
Ms. Chandreyi Dutta

... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 165 days and the investigation of the case has already been completed, as such, further detention of the petitioner may not be warranted. Learned advocate prays that the petitioner may be released on bail on any stringent condition.

On the other hand, learned advocate for the State has produced the Case Diary and opposes the prayer for bail. Learned advocate for the State submits that there are materials appearing in the Case Diary against all the accused persons, as such, at this stage, the petitioner may not be released on bail.

However, the records of the case reflect that the present petitioner is the father-in-law of the deceased.

I have assessed the complicity of the present petitioner so far as the statement of the witnesses are concerned and having regard to the period of detention undergone by the present petitioner, I am of the view that further custodial detention of the present petitioner is unwarranted.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Allowed.**

Accordingly, the petitioner viz, Badal Das shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-(Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, Tehatta, Nadia.

If on bail, the petitioner shall also make himself physically available on each and every date so fixed by the learned court *in seisin* of the case including the learned trial court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of Nadia District without prior intimation to the learned trial court and/or the ACJM, Tehatta, Nadia.

In case, there is any violation of the aforesaid conditions, the trial court and/or the ACJM, Tehatta, Nadia would be at liberty to cancel the bail without further reference to this court.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 1585 of 2025 is **allowed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)