

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

Present:
The Hon'ble Justice Jay Sengupta

CRR 3916 of 2025
With
CRAN 1 of 2025

Amit Kumar Roy
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Anr.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya
Mr. Rajib Mullick
Ms. Sonia Mukherjee
Mr. Subham Chatterjee

For the O.P. 2 : Mr. Amit Kumar Nag
Mr. Subhajit Ghosh

For the State : Mr. Joydeep Biswas
Mr. Sharequl Haque

Heard on : 24.09.2025

Judgment on : 24.09.2025

Jay Sengupta, J.:

This is an application praying for quashing of a proceeding in C.G.R. Case No. 1399 of 2025 pending before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Alipore, South 24 Parganas arising out of Karaya P.S. Case No. 123 dated 03.05.2025 under Sections 132, 223(b) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

Report filed on behalf of the State is also taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the present case arose from a dispute between landlord and tenant. Civil cases were filed. All have been finally settled and compromised between the private parties. As such, the impugned proceeding ought to be quashed on the ground of compromise.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de-facto complainant/private opposite party supports such contentions of the petitioner and submits that settlement and compromise has been arrived at between the private parties of all disputes that had led to the registration of the FIR. The impugned proceeding, therefore, may be quashed on the ground of settlement.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that the State would not come in the way if a settlement has been arrived at between the private parties.

It appears that a settlement and compromise has indeed been arrived at between the private parties of all disputes that had led to the initiation of the impugned proceeding.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the impugned proceeding is quashed on the ground of settlement.

With these observations, the revisional application and the connected application are disposed of.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this judgment be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)

tbsr/11