

AD 24
November 10, 2025
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 3140 of 2025

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Raiganj Police Station Case No.860 of 2025 dated 01.08.2025 under Section 105 of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of:

Ali Hussain @Moulana Ajijur Rahaman
... petitioner

Mr. Jayanta Narayan Chatterjee, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kaushik Chowdhury
Ms. Jayashree Patra
Ms. Pritha Sinha
... for the petitioner

Mr. Bitasok Banerjee
Ms. Sana Naaz
... for the State

Mr. Nirupam Dhali
... for the de facto complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the headmaster of a Madrasah. He is in no way connected with the alleged offence. He had never asked the petitioner to do any kind of hooking or the like.

Learned counsel for the State relies on the case diary, strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, refers to the statements of the children as well as a teacher and local witnesses and submits that it appears from the statements of local witnesses and a former teacher that the petitioner was running the Madrasah by hooking electricity. Time and again the local people and other teachers asked him not to do so. Earlier, a boy had suffered electric shock.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to surrender and pray for bail before the learned trial court.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)