

09.12.2025
Court No.28
Item No. 5
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 3130 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Raniganj** P.S. Case No. **112 of 2025** dated **20.04.2025** under Sections **115(2)/116(b)/117(2)/118(1)/119(1)/3(5)/3(6)/318(4)** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Manoj Kr. Burnwal @ Manoj Kumar Burnwal
....Petitioner.

Mr. Santanu Talukdar
Mr. Priyankar Ganguly
Ms. Shalini Bairagi
Ms. Pipasa Chakraborty
....for the petitioner

Mr. Apurba Kumar Datta
....for the de facto complainant

Ms. Sonali Das
Mr. Subhajit Chowdhury
....for the State

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the *bona fide* purchaser of a property without notice of defect in title. There is no criminal antecedent against the present petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de facto complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the petitioner is a land mafia. He created fake identity of a person and tried to pass him off as the seller of the property. In this way, he got the land registered in his name.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on a report, which

says that there is a MP case pending against the present petitioner. The petitioner foisted a seller as well as an identifier. The seller was non-existent. He tried to pose as the deceased Sudipta Das who was the actual owner of the property. As per the statement of the identifier, he was a day labour and was fraudulently used to identify someone. He denied any knowledge about what he signed on.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged role attributed to the present petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)