

03.12.2025

Item No.M/L. 108

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 1545 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Kaliganj Police Station Case No. 618 of 2024 dated 24.07.2024 under Sections 103(1)/61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In Re : **Tapas Rajwar @ Tapas Rajawar**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Santanu Talukdar,
Mr. Priyankar Ganguly,
Ms. Shalini Bairagi,

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Ranabir Roy Chowdhury,
Mr. Shiladitya Banerjee

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 1 year 4 months and there has been hardly any progress in the case as only four witnesses, out of the proposed 27 witnesses, have been examined by the prosecution.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there are two eyewitnesses in this case whom the prosecution intends to rely upon in support of its case.

In view of the submissions made by the State, I direct the learned Trial Court to ensure that two eyewitnesses, proposed to be examined by the prosecution, be concluded by 31.03.2026.

Having considered the stage of the case, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail at this stage. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

Petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after the aforesaid period is over.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 1545 of 2025, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)