

26
22.09.2025
Ct.No.34
b.das
Rejected

C.R.M. (M) 1539 of 2025

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 filed in connection with Belgharia Police Station Case No.95 of 2025 dated 02.04.2025 under Sections 103/238/61(2)/3(5) of the BNS read with Section 25/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : **Jayanta Dey @ Gopal** ... Petitioner.

Mr. Arindam Jana
Mr. S. Sarkar
Mr. Yuvraj Chatterjee ... for the petitioner.

Mr. Joydeep Roy
Ms. Debjani Sahu ... for the State.

Mr. Amit Ranjan Pati
Mr. S. Ghosh
Ms. S. Chowdhury
Ms. K. Kubra
Mr. A. Chatterjee ...for the de facto complainant.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and he is not named in the FIR. He was not present at the place of occurrence at the relevant time. He prays for bail.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record. The petitioner appears to be the master mind who chalked out the entire alleged incident. He has been implicated by independent witnesses. The call detail records between the petitioner and one of the principal assailants immediately prior to the alleged incident prima facie connects him to the alleged incident. The petitioner is in custody for about 170

days. Offence, if proved, shall attract mandatory life imprisonment.

Considering the extent of involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The application for bail is thus disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)