

W.P.A. 20497 OF 2025

**MANJU MALA GHOSH
VS.
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & OTHERS**

Mr. Indranil Banerjee, Adv.

...for the Petitioner

Mr. Amman Arif Ansari, Adv.

...for the State

1. Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.
2. The petitioner is a retired Assistant Teacher, who superannuated on 30th September, 2010 and got the benefit under the Contributory Provident Fund Scheme (CPF). Subsequently, petitioner exercised option to come under the Pension-cum-Gratuity Scheme in terms of Government notification dated 13th June, 2014 which was issued in terms of the judgment of the Special Bench dated 16th July, 2013 passed on *intra-court* appeal being ***A.P.O. No.94 of 2009 (State of West Bengal & Ors. v. Abhijit Baidya & Ors.)***.
3. It has also been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that as per demand of the State respondents, petitioner has deposited the amount along with interest and additional interest which she received under CPF Scheme.
4. However, the grievance of the petitioner is after taking steps in terms of the said notification dated

13th June, 2014 by issuing pension payment order dated 13th February, 2015, pensionary benefit was sanctioned in favour of the petitioner not from the date following the date of petitioner's retirement but from the date of refund which petitioner made after exercising option in terms of the said notification dated 13th June, 2014. Petitioner claims issuance of revised pension payment order thereby sanctioning pensionary benefits from the date following the date of petitioner's superannuation based on the judgment of the Special Bench dated 30th September, 2019 passed on *intra-court* appeal being *A.P.O. No.121 of 2007*.

5. The State respondents are represented by learned advocate who has also submitted that based on the judgment dated 30th September, 2019 of the Special Bench the benefit of pension is accorded to other similarly circumstanced retired teachers and staffs from the date following the date of their superannuation provided exercise of option has been made within time in terms of said notification dated 13th June, 2014 and if the refund is made by the concerned teacher as per the calculation of the State respondents.

6. This Court has heard the learned advocates representing the parties and has perused the relevant materials available on record.
7. It is not the case that the petitioner did not exercise option within time in terms of the said notification dated 13th June, 2014 and the petitioner on duly exercising option in terms of notification dated 13th June, 2014 switched over from CPF to Pension-cum-Gratuity Scheme. On placing reliance on paragraph 55 of the judgment of the Special Bench dated 30th September, 2019, this Court does not find any impediment in extending the benefit of pension to the petitioner from the date following the date of her retirement provided exercise of option is made within time and refund has been made as per the calculation of the State respondents.
8. Accordingly, this Court directs the State respondents to issue revised pension payment order in favour of the petitioner within twelve (12) weeks from the date of communication of this order thereby extending the benefit of pension from the date following the date of petitioner's superannuation.
9. With the above direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

10. Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon usual undertakings.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)