

26 24.09.2025  
rkd Ct.18

W.P.A. 20325 of 2025

Sunil Kumar Das

-vs-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ms. Sudipa Banerjee

....for the petitioner.

1. Affidavit-of-service filed by the petitioner is taken on record.
2. In spite of service of notice State respondents are not represented.
3. Petitioner's wife was a retired Assistant Teacher, who superannuated on 28<sup>th</sup> February, 2007 and got the benefit under the Contributory Provident Fund Scheme (CPF). Wife of the petitioner exercised option to come under the Pension-cum-Gratuity Scheme in terms of Government notification dated 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 which was issued in terms of the judgment of the Special Bench dated 16<sup>th</sup> July, 2013 passed on intra-court appeal being **A.P.O. No.94 of 2009 (State of West Bengal & Ors. v. Abhijit Baidya & Ors.)**.
4. The wife of the petitioner died on 3<sup>rd</sup> January, 2016 and accordingly claim is laid by the present petitioner for issuance of revised Pension Payment Order. It has also been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that as per demand of the State

respondents, wife of the petitioner deposited the amount along with interest and additional interest which she received under CPF Scheme.

5. However, the grievance of the petitioner is after taking steps in terms of the said notification dated 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 by issuing pension payment order dated 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2015, pensionary benefit was sanctioned in favour of the employee not from the date following the date of her retirement but from the date of refund which the employee made after exercising option in terms of the said notification dated 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. Petitioner claims issuance of revised pension payment order thereby sanctioning pensionary benefits from the date following the date of wife's superannuation based on the judgment of the Special Bench dated 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2019 passed on intra-court appeal being A.P.O. No.121 of 2007.
6. This Court has heard the learned advocate representing the petitioner and has perused the relevant materials available on record.
7. It is not the case that the teacher did not exercise option within time in terms of the said notification dated 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 and teacher on duly exercising option in terms of notification dated 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 switched over from CPF to Pension-

cum-Gratuity Scheme. On placing reliance on paragraph 55 of the judgment of the Special Bench dated 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2019, this Court does not find any impediment in extending the benefit of pension to the petitioner from the date following the date of wife's retirement provided exercise of option is made within time and refund has been made as per the calculation of the State respondents.

8. Accordingly, this Court directs the State respondents to issue revised pension payment order in favour of the petitioner within twelve (12) weeks from the date of communication of this order thereby extending the benefit of arrear pension/ family pension from the date following the date of wife's superannuation.
9. With the above direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.
10. Urgent Photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon usual undertakings.

**(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)**