

24-03-2025
Item No.4
Subrata
Bhattacharyya
AR(C)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction

Appellate Side

WPA No. 20887 of 2024

Dr. Goutam Pal

-VS-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Dr. Goutam Pal ... the petitioner in person

Mr. Swapan Kumar Datta, sr. adv/AGP
Mr. Rajat Dutta ...for the State

Mr. Somnath Ganguly, sr. adv/AGP
Mr. Jayanta Samanta ...for the Director

1. For obtaining certain information under the Right to Information Act, 2005, the petitioner filed an application under section 6(1) of the 2005 Act. Being dissatisfied with the reply given, a first appeal and thereafter a second appeal was filed. Assailing the order passed by the State Information Commission, the petitioner has approached this court by way of the instant writ petition.
2. The Court has heard the respective submissions made by the petitioner appearing in person, learned advocates representing the State and the Director of Technical Education being the State Public Information Officer.
3. The petitioner has been supplied a copy of the resignation letter of one professor Dr. Ajay Kumar Roy. According to the petitioner, the said resignation letter was not submitted by Dr. Roy.
4. Whether the resignation letter was at all

submitted by Dr Roy or not is to be agitated by the aggrieved party himself. It will be up to the said Dr. Roy to challenge the same in accordance with law. The petitioner is in no way connected with the resignation of Dr Roy. The petitioner has not accrued any right to challenge the resignation letter of a third party.

5. It appears that the queries of the petitioner have been suitably replied. The file-noting which the petitioner seeks have been denied to be supplied. The file-noting are meant for communications made between the officers. It is not necessary to forward all the file-noting to the petitioner. The ultimate outcome of the file-noting has already been made known to the petitioner.
6. Since the information sought for by the petitioner appears to have been duly supplied, nothing further appears to remain to be decided.
7. The writ petition is disposed of.
8. As no affidavits are called for, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by the respondents.
9. All parties are to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this court.
10. Certified copy of this order, if applied for, shall be made available to the parties.

[Amrita Sinha, J]

