

17.11.2025
Court No.35.
D/L. 16.
Rakib
(rejected)

CRM (M) 1516 of 2025

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/Under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kulti Police Station Case No. 692 of 2022 dated 14.11.2022 under Sections 394/397 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 25/27/35 of the Arms Act and adding Sections 395/412 of the Indian Penal Code and Under Section 25(1B) (a) of the Arms Act.

And

In the matter of : Md. Aslam Ansari @ Aslam Ansari

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Ayan Basu
Mr. Sk. Salim
Mr. Sumit Routh

.....for the Petitioner.

Ms. Rituparna De Ghose
Mr. Ratul Ghosh

.....for the State.

Mr. Ayan Bhattacharjee, Sr. Adv,
Mr. Avik Ghatak
Mr. Md. Fahad Inam
Ms. Ritu Das

....for the de-facto complainant.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for three years and unnecessarily the petitioner presently is languishing in jail as for considerable period of time there has been no progress in the trial of the case.

On the other hand learned advocate appearing for the State submits a report. Report reflects that the State intends to examine only 19 witnesses out of the 32 witnesses earlier proposed. It has further been pointed out that 16 of the witnesses have already been examined and three more witnesses are required to be examined by the prosecution.

Learned advocate appearing for the de-facto complainant opposes the prayer for bail and adopt the submission of the State.

Having considered advance stage of the case, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, CRM (M) 1516 of 2025 is **dismissed**.

However, it is categorically directed that the three witnesses which the prosecution proposes to rely including the stage of Section 313 of Cr.P.C. and/or the examination of the defence witnesses be completed by 30th of April, 2026. The learned trial Court will pronounce his verdict by 31st of May, 2026.

Learned advocate for the State is directed to communicate this order to the learned trial Court.

In case the Presiding Officer is on leave the evidence of this Court would be recorded by the Court In-charge of the said trial Court and proceed/progress with the trial of the case.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)