

17.09.2025
SL No.23
Court No.1
(gc)

**FAT 394 of 2025
CAN 1 of 2025**

**Mahender Kumar Vaidh & Anr.
Vs.
Arindam Chakraborty**

Mr. Ishaan Saha,
Mr. Tanish Ganerwala,
Ms. Pooja Saha,
Mr. Satyam Bhimsaria
...for the Appellants.

1. In view of the fact that the hearing of the injunction application is fixed on 24th November, 2025, we do not propose to interfere with the ex parte ad-interim order.
2. However, written objections shall be filed in the meantime upon prior service to the plaintiff.
3. It is needless to mention that in disposing of the injunction application on merits, the learned Trial Judge shall not be influenced by the observation made by us in this order.
4. We request the learned Trial Judge to dispose of the injunction application as expeditiously as possible subject to the convenience of the learned Judge.
5. We make it clear that we have not gone into the merits of the matter.
6. Accordingly, the appeal and the application are disposed of.
7. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

8. Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

[Soumen Sen, C.J. (Acting)]

(Apurba Sinha Ray, J.)