

25.9.2025

akb

Sl. 180

Ct.29

Rejected

CRM (NDPS) No. 1047 of 2025

In re: An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with T.R. Case
No. 26 of 2024 arising out of Sankrail Police Station Case No. 953 of
2024 dated 11.12.2024 under Section 20(b)(ii)(c) 25 & 29 of the NDPS
Act, 1985.

And

In re: Shailendra Kumar Shah @ Golu

... petitioner

Md. Zohaib Rauf

Mr. Ajitesh Pandey

Mr. Zubeen Pandey

...for the petitioner

Mr. Debasish Roy, Ld.P.P.

Mr. Antarikhya Basu

Ms. M. Mahato

...for the State

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits
that the petitioner is in custody for about nine months and two cars
were intercepted at Dhulagarh Toll Plaza, wherefrom one driver was
apprehended and the driver of the second car was fled away. He
further submits that the petitioner is only 20 years of age and he did
not have any involvement with the alleged offence and as such he may
be released on bail on any terms and conditions as the co-accused
statement has no evidentiary value.

Mr. Debasish Roy, learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail
prayer contending that the materials collected during investigation
discloses that the petitioner has direct involvement with the alleged
offence and he managed to fled away from the spot when search and
seizure was made. He further submits that during investigation it
further reveals that on 10.12.2024 when the raid was conducted the
tower location of the petitioner's phone was at Dhulagarh Toll Plaza,
Howrah under Sankrail Police Station and he also allegedly visited
several times in Orissa and his tower location also reflected in CDR.

He further submits that he has made several phone calls with the co-accused persons which prima facie establishes his involvement with the alleged offence.

Having heard learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the State I find that there are reasons to believe from the materials that the petitioner has prima facie involvement with the alleged offence and as such, the prayer for bail made by the petitioner is considered and rejected.

The application, being CRM (NDPS) 1047 of 2025 is accordingly disposed of.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Dr. Ajoy Kumar Mukherjee, J.)