

11.12.2025
Item No.101
Ct. No.1
PG

WPA(P) 335 of 2025
Naya Bhoomi & Anr.
Versus
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Partha Sarathi Das
Mr. Indrajeet Dutta.....for the Petitioners

Mr. Pinaki Ranjan Chakraborty
Ms. Sarda Sha.....for the Union of India

Mr. Abhrajit Mitra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Saha.....for the respondents 5 & 6

PER, SUJOY PAUL, ACJ:

1. Parties are represented through their respective learned counsels.
2. A preliminary objection is raised by respondent nos. 5 & 6 by contending that petitioners are not situated in State of West Bengal and have no interest and locus in this matter.
3. For similar reason, **WPA(P) 359 of 2025** (**Pardarshita & Anr. vs. Union of India & Ors.**) was dismissed by this Court on 12.09.2025. Faced with this, learned counsel for petitioners submits that true, it is, that petitioners are not located in State of West Bengal but under the relevant High Court Rules, the question of locus is not a relevant aspect.
4. In view of the point already decided by this Court in the case of **Pardarshita & Anr.(supra)**, we find no reason to take a different view, more so

when it is not in dispute that petitioners herein are not located in West Bengal and necessary pleading to bring the PIL under Rule 56 and 57 of the Rules of High Court at Calcutta Relating to Applications under Article 226 of The Constitution of India are absent.

5. For the reasons stated in the case of ***Pardarshita & Anr.(supra)*** this petition is dismissed on the ground of *locus standi*.

(SUJOY PAUL, ACJ.)

(PARTHA SARATHI SEN, J.)