

September 18, 2025

12 ARDR
(Rejected)

CRM (M) 1432 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with **Ghatal
Police Station** Case No. **112** of **2025** dated **5/4/2025** under
Sections **126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/109(1)/3(5)** of the BNS, 2023.

And

In Re : Swapan Samanta & anr.

... petitioners.

Sr. Adv. Kallol Mondal,
Adv. Krishan Roy,
Adv. Souvik Das,
Adv. Anamitra Banerjee,
Adv. Akbar Laskar,
Adv. Sreyash Kuamr Singh,

... for the petitioners.

Adv. Navanil De,
Adv. Monami Mukherjee,

...for the de facto complainant.

Adv. Baishali Basu,
Adv. Rita Dutta,

... for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the
petitioners are in custody for more than 80 days. They have been
falsely implicated. Charge sheet has been submitted. Property
dispute between the neighbours gave rise to the present complaint.

Learned counsels for the State and the defacto complainant
oppose the prayer.

I have considered the material on record.

The petitioners have been implicated by several eye witnesses.
Injuries inflicted upon the victim are extremely serious in nature.
Charge sheet has been submitted. In the event the petitioners are
released on bail at this stage, possibility of their influencing/
intimidating the witnesses, thereby protracting the trial cannot be
ruled out.

Considering the gravity of the offence and prima facie involvement of the petitioners therein, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)