

September 22, 2025

45 ARDR

(Allowed)

CRM (M) 1425 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with
Bhadreswar Police Station Case No. **441** of **2017** dated
22/11/2017 under Sections **302/34/120B** of the Indian Penal
Code and Sections **25/27/35** of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : Ranjit Kumar Singh @ Ranjit Singh

... Petitioner.

Adv. Saryati Datta,
Adv. Sandipan Das,

... for the petitioner.

Adv. Koushik Kundu,
Adv. Sarthak Mondal,

... for the State.

The petitioner is in custody for more than eight years and
prays for bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the
witnesses have been examined. None of the witnesses has
implicated the petitioner.

Opposing the prayer, learned counsel for the State submits
that out of twenty-seven witnesses, examination of the I.O. is in
progress. The petitioner has filed an application seeking
reexamination of three witnesses. There has been no delay on the
part of the prosecution in conducting the case.

I have considered the material on record.

Though one of the eye witnesses has implicated the petitioner
in his statement recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal
Procedure, he has subsequently prevaricated from his earlier
statement and has not named the petitioner in his evidence. Seizure
of two live ammunitions from the possession of the petitioner can at

best attract offences under the Arms Act. The ballistic report of the improvised arm seized from one of the co-accused does not connect the ammunition with the arm or with the alleged murder. The petitioner is in custody for about eight years.

Considering the material on record and extent of complicity of the petitioner in the alleged crime, this Court is of the view that further detention of the petitioner is not required and he may be released on bail subject to stringent conditions.

Accordingly, prayer for bail is allowed.

The petitioner namely **Ranjit Kumar Singh @ Ranjit Singh** be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hooghly** subject to the condition that he shall appear before the learned trial Court on every date of hearing and shall not intimidate witnesses or tamper with evidence in any manner whatsoever.

In the event the petitioner fails to appear before the learned trial Court on any date without justifiable cause, the learned trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel his bail in accordance with law without further reference to this Court.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)