

12.09.2025
Court No.28
Item No.61
ssi

CRM (A) 2952 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Jiyaganj Police Station Case No. **421 of 2024** dated **03.11.2024** under Sections **126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/109/3(5)** of the BNS, 2023 read with Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In the matter of: **Biswajit Mondal.**

....Applicant/Petitioner.

Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty

Mr. R. K. Acharyya

Mr. B. B. Maity

Mr. Dipam Mozumder

Mr. S. Saha

...for the petitioner

Mr. Sardar Sahin Imam

Mr. Asraf Mondal

..for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is a local business man who came to the spot after hearing a gunshot. The principal accused is now on bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the case diary and refers to the injury report and the statements of the victim as well as eye-witnesses.

The prosecution case appears to be that the petitioner along with one Sonu Kumar Chowdhury and others came armed with sticks, iron rod and the pistol and started to assault the victim. The said Sonu made a blank fire. He was arrested and he presently is on bail. A cartridge was also recovered.

Considering the materials available in the case diary and the brazen manner in which after being armed with weapons including a

pistol, the petitioner and the other co-accused came in a group and assaulted and threatened the petitioner, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)