

19.09.2025
Court No.28
Item No.14
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 2917 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Singoor** P.S. Case No. **323 of 2025** dated **19.06.2025** under Sections **115(2)/117(2)/126(2)/3(5)/351(2)/352/62/64** of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: AAAAA

....Petitioner.

Mr. Sachit Talukdar
Mr. Tirtharaj Ghoshal
Mr. Tushar Mridha
...for the petitioner

Mr. Arindam Sen
Md. Yaser Ammar Ismail
....for the State

Mr. Sauradeep Dutta
Mr. Himadree Ghosh
....for the de facto complainant

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the de-facto complainant had earlier lodged an FIR against the petitioner and others over cutting of trees. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de-facto complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the case diary and points to the injury report which shows, among other things, stab injury with knife at the upper chest of the victim. In the statement of the victim recorded before the learned Magistrate she clearly states about the role of the petitioner in assaulting her and in attempting to commit rape.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)