

12.09.2025
Court No.28
Item No.33
ssi

CRM (A) 2914 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Khargram PS Case No.**248 of 2025** dated **09.06.2025** under Sections **288/61(2)** of the BNS, 2023 read with Section 9B (2) of the Explosives Act, 1884.

And

In the matter of: **Soru Sk. @ Saru Sk.**

....Applicant/Petitioner.

Mr. Navanil De
Ms. Monami Mukherjee

...for the petitioner

Md. Adil Badr
Mr. Soumya BASu Roy Chowdhuri

..for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that other than the statement of a co-accused, which is inadmissible in evidence, there is no other incriminating materials appearing against the present petitioner. The bombs in question were recovered from the backyard of the house of one Bokul Sk.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of raiding party members and submits that the petitioner was the one who had fled away from the scene. Had he not fled away, he could have been identified by the witnesses.

Considering the incriminating materials including the statements of the raiding party members that the petitioner was the one who had fled away from the scene, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)